ECTES 2014 & 2\textsuperscript{nd} WTC Delegate Survey

Response rate: 253 responses

May 24 – 27, 2014
Congress Center Frankfurt, Germany
How old are you?

- < 35 years: 29%
- 35 - 45 years: 32%
- 46 - 55 years: 13%
- 56 - 65 years: 3%
- > 65 years: 3%
What is your primary occupation?

- 31% Visceral Surgery
- 18% Other Surgical Discipline
- 14% Nurse
- 8% Student
- 5% Emergency Surgery
- 24% Orthopedic Surgery
What is your gender?

20% female

80% male
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fields of Interest</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polytrauma</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency / Acute Care Surgery</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster &amp; Military Surgery</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Trauma</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral Trauma</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurotrauma</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal Orthopedic Trauma</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prehospital Care</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Care</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which sessions did you attend?

- ILCS (Instructional Lecture Courses)
- Keynote Sessions (incl. free papers)
- 12 to 12 Sessions
- Guest Symposia
- Industry-supported Sessions
- Pre-Congress Courses
Many world leaders presenting their experiences

Outstanding scientific program

Good job in the time distribution, punctuality and excellent organization in general

The atmosphere

Variety in subjects

Good venue

Great number of participants from all over the world

Easy to navigate congress center, meeting rooms, accessible and clearly identified

The cooperation with the WTC

The atmosphere of free and enthusiastic sharing of knowledge and experiences

What did you like about the congress?
What could be improved?

- Have sessions focused on ask the expert!
- Offer digital access to presentation
- More discussion time
- Too many interesting sessions in parallel
- Lunch and Catering could be improved
- Better opportunities for nurses to network
- Boost younger professionals
- Avoid subject repetition
- Offer interactive WIFI to post questions to the speakers
- Better seating arrangements

Boost younger professionals

Better seating arrangements

Offer interactive WIFI to post questions to the speakers

Avoid subject repetition

Better opportunities for nurses to network

More discussion time

Too many interesting sessions in parallel

Lunch and Catering could be improved

What could be improved?
How do you rate the overall quality of presentations you attended?

- Excellent

There is a great variation in quality based on the unique internationality of participants

Some presentations could be more scientific and special

Very good, thrilling because European and international

Key notes: high
Scientific talks: moderate
How do you rate the balance between clinical presentations and research work?

- There was a good balance between clinical presentations and research work (83%)
- There was too much emphasis on clinical practice (7%)
- There was too much emphasis on research work (4%)
- None of the above (6%)
What do you think of the scientific program?

- The program was mainly for specialists
- There was a good balance between generalist and specialist topics
- The program was mainly for generalists
On which half-days did you attend scientific sessions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Attendance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunday Morning, May 25, 2014</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday Afternoon, May 25, 2014</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday Morning, May 26, 2014</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday Afternoon, May 26, 2014</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday Morning, May 27, 2014</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday Afternoon, May 27, 2014</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What was your attendee status?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Abstract Presenter</th>
<th>Faculty</th>
<th>Industry Representative</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Images of attendees, presenter, faculty, industry representative, and other categories]
Are you an individual member of ESTES?

Did ECTES 2014 & 2nd WTC contribute to your professional development/learning?

- Yes: 95%
- No: 5%
When did you register for ECTES 2014 & 2nd WTC?

- 47% registered 1 - 4 months before the congress
- 48% registered > 4 months before the congress
- 3% registered a few weeks before the congress
- 2% registered on-site in Frankfurt
How do you rate the quality of the commercial exhibition?
How do you rate the quality of the registration procedure?

1. On-site registration
2. Pre-congress registration

**Question:** How do you rate the quality of the registration procedure?

**Options:**
- 5*****
- 4****
- 3***
- 2**
- 1*

**Graph:**
- Green bars: On-site registration
- Blue bars: Pre-congress registration

**Results:**
- 5*****: 60%
- 4****: 20%
- 3***: 10%
- 2**: 10%
- 1*: 0%
How do you rate ...?

- **5*******: the design of session rooms (room design, AV facilities etc.)
- **4******: on-site staff friendliness, competence and accessibility
- **3*****: ...
- **2****: ...
- **1***: ...

... the quality of congress publications (announcements, final program book, website etc.)
Apart from the scientific program, did you find enough opportunities for networking and exchange between colleagues?

86% Yes
14% No
Would you recommend your colleagues to attend ECTES 2015 in Amsterdam?

- Yes: 97%
- No: 3%

Are you planning to attend ECTES 2015 in Amsterdam?

- Yes: 63%
- Maybe: 32%
- No: 4%
About the survey

253 responses

Online:
May 27 – June 23, 2014